

APPLICATION UNDER UNITED STATES PATENT LAWS

Invention: ENHANCEMENT OF ENZYME ACTIVITY THROUGH
PURIFICATION AND IMMOBILIZATION

Inventor(s): Bradley A. SAVILLE
Department of Chemical Engineering
and Applied Chemistry
University of Toronto
200 College Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A4, Canada

Mikhail I. KHAVKINE
223 Surgeoner Crescent
Newmarket, Ontario, L3X 2L1, Canada

Attorney Docket No.: 95772-1440

Jeffrey S. Melcher
Manelli, Denison & Selter P.L.L.C.
Customer No.: 20736
2000 M Street, N.W.
7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036-3307

THIS IS A REGULAR UTILITY APPLICATION

THIS APPLICATION CLAIMS PRIORITY TO CANADIAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.
2,421,829, FILED 13 MARCH 2003, THE COMPLETE DISCLOSURE OF WHICH IN
INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE

SPECIFICATION

**ENHANCEMENT OF ENZYME ACTIVITY THROUGH
PURIFICATION AND IMMOBILIZATION**

5

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to the use of enzymes for industrial processes, particularly, use of immobilized enzymes on a matrix used in chemical processes, and purification methods advantageous for the enhancement of enzyme activity and stability

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The industrial use of enzymes is often limited by their high cost and rapid inactivation. Soluble enzymes are lost with the product at the conclusion of a process, and must be replenished. One area of technological development involves modification of proteins to enhance their activity and/or stability. Processes, such as those involving site-directed mutagenesis and the cultivation of wild forms of enzymes in extreme environments, i.e., extremophiles, have led to significant advances in enzyme technology involving the reduction in the cost per unit of enzyme activity.

Another means to improve the economic feasibility of enzymes for industrial processes is through enzyme immobilization onto a matrix, which may facilitate re-use of the enzyme. Immobilization research has focused upon means to enhance the transfer of enzymes onto the support, and upon means to ensure that the immobilized enzymes remain active. Inactivation of enzymes during catalytic turnover is, however, a key obstacle which may limit the economic feasibility of enzyme-mediated processes. Enzymes may be inactivated by extremes of temperature, pH, shear, and also by free radicals and other reactive species present in the reaction medium. Immobilization technology has the potential to reduce such enzyme inactivation, and, thus, extend the enzyme's useful lifespan.

The development of an immobilized enzyme requires a choice of support matrix and a choice of enzyme immobilization method. These choices may have a dramatic impact on the quantity of enzyme transferred to the support, along with the activity and stability of the attached enzyme.

Activated charcoal is a well-known absorbent, and has been previously used for enzyme immobilization via absorption (A.S. Rani, M.L.M. Das, S. Satyanarayana, J. Mol. Catal. B. Enzymatic, 10, 471, 2000; W. Hassler, Purification with Activated

Carbon, Chemical Publishing Co., New York, 1974), and following derivatization. Le Fevre and Saville, U.S. Patent 5,998,183, describes the use of siliceous materials for enzyme immobilization.

5 Glutaraldehyde is a well-known protein cross-linking agent, used for enzyme immobilization and for fixation of samples for scanning electron microscopy (D.R. Walt and V.I. Agayn, Trends Anal. Chem., 13(10), 425, 1994). Its propensity to cause protein denaturation is well known. Typically, highly purified forms of glutaraldehyde are used for electron microscopy, whereas standard commercial grades of glutaraldehyde are typically used for immobilization. These commercial grades may
10 include, in addition to the pure aldehyde, polymers of glutaraldehyde, cyclic structures, and acetals. A recent review (Walt and Agayn *ibid*) reached no clear consensus on the benefits/disadvantages of any of the forms of glutaraldehyde used for immobilization.

U.S. Patent No. 4,438,196 – Oreste J. Lantero Jr. is an example of derivation and describes immobilization onto activated carbon after the support has been
15 derivatized using a polyamine compound or a copolymer of a polyamine and an epihalohydrin.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

20 It is an object of the present invention to produce an enzyme form of superior activity and stability for use in industrial processes. Such an improved enzyme form may be produced by immobilization, reagent purification, or a combination thereof.

One aspect of the process according to the present invention uses an immobilization technique known as cross-linking, using two well-known supports for
25 conventional catalysis, namely, activated carbon and siliceous materials, such as; for example, zeolites and silica gel.

In a further aspect, we have discovered that (a) purification of, for example, glutaraldehyde for use as a cross-linking agent prior to use for immobilization can substantially improve the stability of enzymes attached onto supports, and, (b), that
30 activated charcoal, when used in combination with glutaraldehyde as a cross-linking agent, acts as an excellent support matrix for immobilization of enzymes.

We have found that purification of the glutaraldehyde cross-linking agent to remove polymeric forms and other active centres species can substantially affect the stability of the immobilized enzyme.

35 By the term "active centre species" in this specification is meant compounds that facilitate the formation of polymeric forms of the cross-linking aldehydes of use in the

practice of the invention. As hereinafter described, surprisingly, although it is apparent that the presence of polymeric forms of, for example, glutaraldehyde, affects enzyme stability, it is also apparent that the removal of polymeric aldehyde forms alone is not sufficient.

5 Accordingly, the invention provides in one aspect, an improved method of making an immobilized enzyme comprising (a) treating an immobilization support with an aqueous solution comprising a cross-linking agent and polymeric aldehyde species and active centre species to produce a modified support; (b) isolating said modified support; (c) treating an enzyme solution with said modified support to produce said immobilized
10 enzyme, the improvement comprising treating said aqueous solution of cross-linking agent with an effective amount of a purifying agent to reduce the amount of said polymeric aldehyde species and active centre species.

In a further aspect the invention provides an improved method of making an immobilized enzyme comprising (a) treating an immobilization support with an aqueous
15 enzyme solution to produce an adsorbed immobilized enzyme; (b) isolating said adsorbed immobilized enzyme; and treating said adsorbed immobilized enzyme with an effective amount of an aqueous solution comprising a cross-linking agent and polymeric aldehyde species and active centre species to produce said immobilized enzyme, the improvement comprising treating said cross-linking agent with an effective
20 amount of a purifying agent, to reduce the amount of said polymeric aldehyde species and active centre species.

Preferably, but not exclusively, the aqueous solution of the cross-linking agent is pre-treated with the purifying agent, and separated therefrom prior to mixing with the immobilization support. The purifying agent, for example, an activated carbon, is
25 believed to selectively adsorb polymeric aldehyde species and active centre species, particularly, in the case of glutaraldehyde.

The invention is of particular utility with enzymes selected from the group consisting of amylase, glucoamylase, cellulase, xylanase, glucose isomerase, or any other group 3 hydrolase.

30 Immobilization supports may be selected, for example only, from a method as hereinabove defined wherein said cross-linking agent is glutaraldehyde.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

35 In order that the invention may be better understood, preferred embodiments will now be described by way of example only, wherein

Figs. 1, 2 and 3 represent schematic outlines of preparing immobilized enzymes using various grades of glutaraldehyde according to the prior art and the present invention; and wherein the same numerals denote analogous reagents and steps.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The invention is illustrated by the following examples.

Example 1: Immobilization with Electron-Microscopy Grade Glutaraldehyde (EMG)

10

An immobilized enzyme was prepared by the process shown generally as 10 in Fig. 1.

4% solution of glutaraldehyde (GA) (12) was prepared by dilution from 25 w/v% electron microscopy grade glutaraldehyde. Spectroscopic analysis showed that the 4% solution contained about 94% monomeric GA.

15

Silica gel (40g) (14), as an immobilization support, was added to 250mL of 4% GA solution and mixed in vessel (15) for 3h at room temperature, with gentle stirring to keep the silica gel suspended. The modified silica gel (16) was recovered by vacuum filtration, then dried overnight in a fumehood and for 2h at 50°C in a vacuum oven. The filtrate (recovered glutaraldehyde) (18) was assayed for monomeric and polymeric GA whereby it was found that the concentration of polymeric GA had increased by more than a factor of 20, now comprising about 60% of the forms of glutaraldehyde in the solution.

20

The modified silica gel (20g) (16) was suspended in 250mL of fresh amylase solution (20) which was prepared by diluting 25mL of raw amylase with 225 mL of pH 6 phosphate buffer, for 4h, with gentle stirring in vessel (21). The resulting immobilized enzyme (22) was recovered from the enzyme solution (24) by vacuum filtration. Three sequential assays of immobilized enzyme activity were conducted, to determine the initial activity and the activity retained after the 1st and 2nd uses of the enzyme for hydrolysis of corn starch. The results are presented in Table 1.

25

30

Example 2: Immobilization with Commercial Grade Glutaraldehyde (ASIS)

An immobilized enzyme was prepared according to the process as described in Example 1, with reference to Fig. 1 in an analogous manner.

35

4% solution of glutaraldehyde (GA) (12) was prepared by dilution from 50w/v% commercial grade glutaraldehyde. Spectroscopic analysis showed that the 4% solution contained approximately 91% monomeric GA.

Silica gel (40g) (14) added to 250mL of 4% GA solution (12) and mixed in vessel (15) for 3h at room temperature, with gentle stirring to keep the silica gel suspended. The modified silica gel (16) was recovered by vacuum filtration, then dried overnight in a fumehood and for 2h at 50°C in a vacuum oven. The recovered
5 glutaraldehyde (18) filtrate was assayed for monomeric and polymeric GA whereby it was found that the concentration of polymeric GA had increased by about a factor of 15, now making up about 56% of the total forms of glutaraldehyde in the GA solution (18).

The modified silica gel (20g) (16) was suspended in 250mL of fresh amylase
10 solution (20), which was prepared by diluting 25mL of raw amylase with 225 mL of pH 6 phosphate buffer, for 4h in vessel (21), with gentle stirring. The resulting immobilized enzyme (22) was recovered from the enzyme solution (24) by vacuum filtration. Three sequential assays of immobilized enzyme activity were conducted, to determine the initial activity and the activity retained after the 1st and 2nd uses of the enzyme for
15 hydrolysis of corn starch. The results are presented in Table 1.

Example 3: Immobilization with Purified Commercial Grade Glutaraldehyde (PUR(S))

An immobilized enzyme was prepared by the process shown generally as (100) in Fig. 2.

20 4% solution of glutaraldehyde (GA) (102) was prepared by dilution from 50 w/v% commercial grade glutaraldehyde. The GA solution was then purified by incubation with 3.6 % (w/v) Darco G60™ (activated charcoal) (104) in vessel (104) for 0.5 – 12 hours, and then recovered by filtration. Spectroscopic analysis showed that the 4% purified glutaraldehyde solution (106) contained mainly monomeric GA at about
25 93% of the total.

Silica gel (40g) (108) as an immobilization support was added to 250mL of 4% purified GA solution (106) in vessel 110 and mixed for 3h at room temperature, with gentle stirring to keep the silica gel suspended. The modified silica gel (112) was recovered by vacuum filtration, dried overnight in a fumehood, and then dried for 2h at
30 50°C in a vacuum oven. The recovered glutaraldehyde filtrate (114) was assayed for monomeric and polymeric GA, whereby it was found that the concentration of polymeric GA had increased by about a factor of 3, now comprising about 20% of the total forms of glutaraldehyde in the GA solution (114).

The modified silica gel (20g) (112) was suspended in 250mL of fresh amylase
35 solution prepared by diluting 25mL of raw amylase with 225 mL of pH 6 phosphate buffer in vessel (118) for 4h, with gentle stirring. The resulting immobilized enzyme

(120) was recovered from the enzyme solution (122) by vacuum filtration. Three sequential assays of immobilized enzyme (120) activity were conducted, to determine the initial activity and the activity retained after the 1st and 2nd uses of the enzyme for hydrolysis of corn starch. The results are presented in Table 1.

5

Table 1: Effect of GA Quality and Purification on Amylase Immobilization

GA Treatment	Amylase Units/g	% Retained Activity
EMG (Example 1)		
1 st use	57	100
2 nd use	45	79
3 rd use	34	60
ASIS (Example 2)		
1 st use	91	100
2 nd use	34	37
3 rd use	23	25
PUR (S) (Example 3)		
1 st use	57	100
2 nd use	57	100
3 rd use	57	100

10 It can be clearly seen that the purity of glutaraldehyde clearly has an impact on the efficacy of the immobilized enzyme. All three forms initially contain mainly monomeric GA, but the commercial form (ASIS) likely also has some acetals. Of the three formulations, the commercial form also has the most polymeric GA at the beginning of the immobilization process. The polymeric GA content increased
15 substantially during immobilization with each of the commercial and electron-microscopy grades of GA. However, the polymer content of the GA solution that had been purified with Darco G60™ activated carbon, PUR(S), increased much less during immobilization. These differences had a significant impact on enzyme immobilization efficacy in subsequent enzymatic reactions..

20 The commercial grade of unpurified GA (ASIS) gave the greatest initial enzyme activity (Example 2), but also the greatest rate of enzyme deactivation. This is possibly due to desorption from the support. The EM grade and the purified GA (PUR(S)) provided comparable initial enzyme activities, albeit less than the commercial grade (ASIS). However, most notably, the immobilized enzyme produced using purified GA
25 (PUR(S)) with activated carbon (Example 3) was stable through three uses. This is in

contrast to the immobilized enzyme produced using the EM purified grade (EMG), which decreased by about 20% per use. This difference in stability is likely linked to the quantity of polymeric GA in solution, and the removal of active centres that are believed to catalyse reformation of polymeric species during immobilization.

5

Example 4: Simultaneous Support Modification and Glutaraldehyde Purification

An immobilized enzyme was prepared according to an analogous process to Fig.1.

10 4% solution of glutaraldehyde (GA) (12) was prepared by dilution of 50 w/v% commercial grade glutaraldehyde in pH 4 buffer. Silica gel (40g) (14), as an immobilization support and activated carbon (25g) (14) as a purifier, were simultaneously suspended in 250mL of 4% GA solution in vessel (15) for 3h at room temperature, with gentle stirring to keep the solids suspended. The modified silica gel (16) and activated carbon (16) were separately recovered by vacuum filtration, dried
15 overnight in a fumehood, and then dried for 2h at 50°C in a vacuum oven.

Modified silica gel (20g) (16) was added to 250mL of amylase solution (20) prepared by diluting 25mL of raw amylase with 225 mL of pH 5 phosphate buffer in vessel (21) and mixed for 4h, with gentle stirring to keep the silica gel (16) suspended. The resulting immobilized enzyme (22) was separated from the enzyme solution by
20 vacuum filtration. Four sequential assays of immobilized enzyme activity were conducted, to determine the initial activity and the activity retained after the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd uses of the enzyme (22) for hydrolysis of corn starch. The results are presented in Table 2.

Example 5: Immobilization to Activated Carbon

25 An immobilized enzyme was prepared by a process shown generally as 300 in Fig. 3.

4% solution of glutaraldehyde (GA) (302) was prepared by dilution of 50 w/v% commercial grade glutaraldehyde in pH 4 buffer. The GA solution (302) was purified by
30 mixing with 4.0% (w/v) activated carbon (304) for 0.75h, and then recovered by filtration (306).

50g of fresh activated carbon as an immobilization support (308) was suspended in 255 mL of fresh amylase solution (30mL raw Allzyme + 225 mL 0.05M phosphate buffer, pH 5.2) (310) in vessel (312) for 3h, with gentle stirring. The
35 adsorbed immobilized enzyme (314) was recovered by vacuum filtration, and then suspended, with gentle stirring, in 250mL of 4% purified GA cross-linking solution (306)

in vessel (320) for 30 min at room temperature to crosslink adsorbed enzyme (314). The cross-linked immobilized enzyme (322) was recovered by vacuum filtration from recovered glutaraldehyde (324), and then washed with 300 mL of water. The immobilized enzyme (322) was dried overnight in a fumehood, and then dried for 1h at 50°C in a vacuum oven. Four sequential assays of immobilized enzyme activity were conducted, to determine the initial activity and the activity retained after the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd uses of the enzyme for hydrolysis of corn starch. The results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Use of Purified Glutaraldehyde for Immobilization on Silica Gel and Activated Carbon

Immobilized Enzyme Form	Amylase Units/g	% Retained Activity
SGel/AC* (Example 4)		
1 st use	32	100
2 nd use	32	100
3 rd use	30	94
4 th use	28	88
AC* (Example 5)		
1 st use	26	100
2 nd use	17	65
3 rd use	20	77
4 th use	18	69

Table 2 shows that the use of purified GA with activated carbon as a purifier has produced, in each example, an immobilized enzyme that shows very good retention of activity on multiple uses. Further, the use of silica gel provides better performance than the use of activated carbon as an immobilization support.

Example 6: Immobilization of Cellulase onto Silica Gel

4% solution of glutaraldehyde (GA) (102) was prepared by dilution from 50 w/v% commercial grade glutaraldehyde. The GA solution was then purified by incubation with 3.6 % (w/v) activated charcoal (104) in vessel (104) for 0.5 – 12 hours, and then recovered by filtration. Spectroscopic analysis showed that the 4% purified glutaraldehyde solution (106) contained mainly monomeric GA at about 70 to 76% of the total.

Silica gel (10g) (108) as an immobilization support was added to 250mL of 4% purified GA solution (106) in vessel 110 and mixed for 3 to 8h at room temperature, with gentle stirring to keep the silica gel suspended. The modified silica gel (112) was recovered by vacuum filtration.

5 The modified silica gel (10g) (112) was suspended for 4 to 48h in 250mL of fresh cellulase solution, prepared by diluting raw cellulase with pH 4.8 citrate buffer in vessel (118), with gentle stirring. The resulting immobilized enzyme (120) was recovered from the enzyme solution (122) by vacuum filtration. Four sequential assays of immobilized enzyme (120) activity were conducted, with wash steps to replicate
10 enzyme exposure to reaction conditions, to determine the initial activity and the activity retained after the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd uses of the enzyme for hydrolysis. The immobilized enzyme retained 43% of its original activity after the first use, with a further 10% loss of activity on each subsequent use. By comparison, without the glutaraldehyde purification step, 95% of the original activity was lost after the first use of the
15 immobilized enzyme.

As mentioned hereinabove, although it is apparent that the presence of polymeric forms of, for example, glutaraldehyde affects enzyme stability, it is also apparent that the removal of polymeric aldehyde forms alone is not sufficient. The
20 electron microscopy grade of glutaraldehyde possessed the least amount of polymeric forms at the beginning of immobilization, and the commercial grade contained the most polymeric GA. However, by the end of the immobilization, the quantity of polymeric GA had increased substantially in both the commercial and electron-microscopy grade GA solutions, but had, by comparison, increased only marginally in the GA solution purified
25 with activated carbon.

As shown in Table 1, higher initial concentrations of polymeric GA present in the commercial grade of glutaraldehyde translated into higher activity of the immobilized enzyme. However, the greatest stability was inversely correlated with the FINAL concentration of polymeric GA in the immobilization solution. The ability of
30 activated carbon to limit/prevent GA polymer formation appears therefore critical. It is also apparent that activated carbon removes some "active centres species" that, in some fashion, facilitate formation of polymeric forms of glutaraldehyde, since the final quantity of polymeric GA in the solution was not directly correlated with the initial quantity of polymeric GA in the solution.

35 Although this disclosure has described and illustrated certain preferred embodiments of the invention, it is to be understood that the invention is not restricted

to those particular embodiments. Rather, the invention includes all embodiments which are functional or mechanical equivalents of the specific embodiments and features that have been described and illustrated.